

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. TOS-148-USA Takashi Minami 07/30/2001 09/890,190 01/31/2003 7590 EXAMINER Law Offices of Townsend & Banta HOWARD, SHARON LEE 1225 Eye Street NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20005 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1615 DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/890,190	
	Examiner	MINAMI ET AL.
The MAN INC.		Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY	ears on the cover sheet with the	1615
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will always are ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, contained the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispersion of the provided part of the provided part of the provided part of the provided period for reply will, by statute, contained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Sep</u>	nto	
2h) This		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowage	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Disposition of Claims A) Claims Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)is/are ellered.		
13/die glowed		
6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to read in		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) _ accept to _		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
and add to by the Event		
13. S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
		or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received	
and object of the priority documents have	haar .	
application to a second priority upon	UMente hava bassi .	· · nis National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the c	ertified copies not received.	
a) The translation of the f	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a	Drovisional application
made of a claim for domestic	application has been received.	provisional application).
Attachment(s)	y under 35 0.S.C. §§ 120 and/or	121.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-41 5) Notice of Informal Patent Ap	3) Paper No(s) Plication (PTO-152)
PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)		,
Office Action Summ	nary	Part of Paper No. 3

Application/Control Number: 09/890,190

Art Unit: 1615

Examiner acknowledges receipt of amendment A filed on 11/15/02.

Claims 1-3 are pending.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP Abstract 59-148713.

The JP '713 abstract discloses 10-20% of a polyethylene wax which has an average molecular weight of 300 to 700, 0.5-50% of a liquid oil (i.e. olive oil and liquid paraffin.

The abstract meets the limitations of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soyama et al. (USP 5,672,339).

Application/Control Number: 09/890,190

Art Unit: 1615

Soyama teaches a composition for rouge for the lips (see abstract), wherein the composition comprises 10-40% of a nonvolatile oil content (col.2, lines 40) comprising glyceryl diisostearate and glyceryl triisostearate (col.4, lines 24 and 26), including other liquid oils comprising olive oil and liquid paraffins (col.4, line23), 5 to 25% (col.3, line 8) of waxes which are known as a shape-retaining agent for forming a lipstick (e.g. polyethylene wax and microcrystalline wax (col.4, lines 49-57 and col.9, lines 63). Soyama discloses at (Example 1-4, col.38) a lipstick formulation comprising 8.0% of polyethylene wax and at (Example 3-3, col.40) an emulsification-type lipstick preparation comprising 4.0% of microcrystalline wax.

Soyama does not teach the particular mass ratio parameters.

However, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to optimize the particular parameters in order to achieve the desired lipstick composition. (See In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Soyama. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare the lipstick composition of Soyama comprising polyethylene wax and liquid oils.

The particular parameters are not critical over the prior art teaching a lipstick composition which comprises polyethylene wax and microcrystalline wax.

The expected result would be a lipstick composition comprising polyethylene wax, and a liquid oil.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Howard whose telephone number is (703) 308-4359. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-3121 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

Sharon Howard

January 28, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600